# Western Mountains Streamflow Duration Assessment Method

### **General site information**

Project name or number:				
Site code or identifier:	As	ssessor(s):		
Waterway name:	<u>'</u>			Visit date:
Current weather conditions (chapter of the storm) chapter of the storm	wea	ites on current eather condition ecipitation in p	ns (e.g., rior week):	Coordinates at downstream end (decimal degrees):  Lat (N):  Long (E):  Datum:
Surrounding land-use within 10  Urban/industrial/residential  Agricultural (farmland, crops,  Developed open-space (e.g.,  Forested  Other natural  Other:	vineyards, pastu		escribe reach b	oundaries:
Mean bankfull channel width (m): (Indicator 1)	Reach length (n 40x width min 40 m max 200 m	m):	Top down:	ID or check if completed.
Disturbed or difficult condition  Recent flood or debris flow  Stream modifications (e.g., check of the conditions)  Diversions  Discharges  Notes on disturbances or difficult	nannelization)	C	Drought /egetation remo Other (explain in None	
Observed hydrology:% of reach with surface% of reach with sub-sur# of isolated pools			omments on ob	oserved hydrology:

Western Mountains SDAM Field Form October 2024	Page <b>2</b> of <b>6</b>
Site sketch:	
1. Mean bankfull channel width (m) (nearest 0.1 m, copy from first page of field form)	
Notes about mean bankfull channel width:	
Aquatic macroinvertebrate indicators	

Collect aquatic macroinvertebrates from at least 6 locations in the assessment reach, searching all suitable habitats on the streambed (including dry habitats, if present).

#### 2. Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Abundance of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera

Determine total abundance of individuals in the orders of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT), such that no one family counts for more than 11 individuals in the total.

Mark the appropriate box for the number of EPT individuals observed.

$\square$ No EPT detected	$\square$ 10 to 19 EPT individuals
☐ 1 to 4 EPT individuals	$\square$ 20 or more EPT individuals
☐ 5 to 9 EPT individuals	
Check if applicable: [	$\square$ No aquatic macroinvertebrates in assessment area
Notes on abundance of EPT indicator:	

#### 3. Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Abundance of perennial indicator taxa

Determine total abundance of individuals in perennial indicator families listed below, such that no one family counts for more than 11 individuals in the total.

Ephemeroptera	Plecoptera	Trichoptera	Coleoptera
Ephemerellidae (spiny	Chloroperlidae (green	Brachycentridae	Elmidae (riffle beetles)
crawler mayflies)	stoneflies)	(humpless casemakers)	
Heptageniidae (flathead	Perlidae (common	Glossosomatidae (saddle	
mayflies)	stoneflies)	casemakers)	
Leptohyphyidae (little		Hydropsychidae	
stout crawler mayflies)		(common netspinners)	
Leptophlebiidae (prong-		Rhyacophilidae (free-	
gilled mayflies)		living caddisflies)	
Mark the appropriate box fo	r the number of perennial in	dicator individuals observed.	
$\square$ No perennial ind	icator taxa detected	$\square$ 10 to 19 perennial inc	licator individuals
☐ 1 to 4 perennial i	ndicator individuals	$\square$ 20 or more perennial	indicator individuals
☐ 5 to 9 perennial i	ndicator individuals		
Che	eck if applicable: 🗌 No aqua	tic macroinvertebrates in asse	essment area
Notes on perennial indicator	taxa:		
4. Slope			
Using a clinometer or other of	device, record the slope as a	percent, up to the nearest ha	lf-percent.
	Not	es about slope:	
		·	

#### 5. Shading

At the center of three transects, use a convex spherical densiometer to record the number of points covered by trees, canyon walls, buildings, or other structures that provide shade (up to 17 points per location). Calculate percent shading as the percentage of points covered by such structures (total points covered divided by 204).

Percent shading:				
	Downstream	Middle transect	Upstream	
	transect		transect	
Facing upstream	/17	/17	/17	
Facing right bank	/17	/17	/17	Total number of points covered:
Facing downstream	/17	/17	/17	/ 204 * 100%
Facing left bank	/17	/17	/17	

Notes on shading:

#### 6. Number of hydrophytic plant species

Record up to 6 hydrophytic plant species (FACW or OBL in the appropriate regional wetland plant list, depending on location) within the assessment area: within the channel or up to one half-channel width outside the channel. Explain in notes if species has an odd distribution (e.g., one individual or small patch, long-lived species solely represented by seedlings, or long-lived species solely represented by specimens in decline), or if there is uncertainty about the identification. Enter photo ID or check if photos are taken.

zero if none were found.			e assessment reach without o	
(	Check if applicable:		in assessment area	1
		Odd		
pecies		distribution?	Notes	Photo ID
Notes on hydrophytic veget	ation:			
<ol><li>Prevalence of root</li></ol>	ed upland plants in	the streambed		
	Evaluate the prevaler	nce of rooted upland	plants (i.e., plants rated as FA	AC, FACU, UPL, or not
(0-3)	listed in the regionall	y appropriate Natio	nal Wetland Plant List) in the s	treambed.
	0 (D) D+			
Half-scores (0.5, 1.5, and			ralent within the streambed/th	_
2.5) are allowed.			sistently dispersed throughou	t tne
	streambed/thalv	-		
		_	pland plants present within th	_
	3 (Strong) Rooted	upland plants are <i>ab</i>	sent from the streambed/thal	_
Upland Species			Notes	Photo ID
Notes on rooted upland pl	ants:			
Notes on rooted upland pl	ants:			
Notes on rooted upland pl	ants:			
Notes on rooted upland pl	ants:			
Notes on rooted upland pl	ants:			
Notes on rooted upland pl	ants:			

# 8. Differences in vegetation

	Compare the composition and density of plants growing on the banks and riparian areas to plants in the adjacent uplands. For this indicator, an upland species is not defined by its wetland indicator status, but rather by its location relative to the channel.
<b>(0-3)</b> Half-scores (0.5, 1.5, 2.5) are allowed.	<ol> <li>(Poor) No compositional or density differences in vegetation are present between the banks and the adjacent uplands.</li> <li>(Weak) Vegetation growing along the reach may occur in greater densities or grow more vigorously than vegetation in the adjacent uplands, but there are no dramatic compositional differences between the two.</li> <li>(Moderate) A distinct riparian corridor exists along part of the reach. Riparian vegetation is interspersed with upland vegetation along the length of the reach.</li> <li>(Strong) Dramatic compositional differences in vegetation are present between the banks and the adjacent uplands. A distinct riparian vegetation corridor exists along the entire reach.</li> </ol>
Notes on difference	Riparian, aquatic, or wetland species dominate the length of the reach.
Notes on difference	is in vegetation:

### 9. Riffle-pool sequence

	Evaluate the prevalence of riffles, pools, and other microhabitats in the streambed.
(0-3)	0 (Poor) No riffle-pool sequences observed.
	1 (Weak) Mostly has areas of pools or riffles.
	2 (Moderate) Represented by a less frequent number of riffles and pools. Distinguishing the
Half-scores (0.5, 1.5,	transition between riffles and pools is difficult to observe.
	3 (Strong) Demonstrated by a frequent number of structural transitions (e.g., riffles followed by
2.5) are allowed.	pools) along the entire reach. There is an obvious transition between riffles and pools.
Notes about riffle-poo	
1	

# 10. Particle size or stream substrate sorting

(0-3)	Evaluate the extent of substrate sorting. Compare substrate on the channel bed to the banks and adjacent floodplain. Look for sorting within the channel bed (e.g., along bars and islands).
Half scores (0.75, 2.25) are allowed.	<ul> <li>(Poor) Particle sizes in the channel are similar or comparable to particle sizes in areas close to but not in the channel. Substrate sorting is not readily observed in the channel.</li> <li>(Moderate) Particle sizes in the channel are moderately similar to particle sizes in areas close to but not in the channel. Various sized substrates are present in the channel and are represented by a higher ratio of larger particles (gravel/cobble; coarse sand in low-gradient streams).</li> <li>(Strong) Particle sizes in the channel are noticeably different from particle sizes in areas close to but not in the channel. There is a clear distribution of various sized substrates in the channel with finer particles accumulating in the pools, and larger particles accumulating in the riffles/runs.</li> </ul>
Notes about substrat	
Photo log Indicate if any other ph	notographs taken during the assessment:
Photo ID Descr	iption
Additional notes a	bout the assessment:
Additional notes a	bout the assessment:
Additional notes a	bout the assessment:
Additional notes a	bout the assessment:
Additional notes a	bout the assessment:
Additional notes a	
Model classificatio	n: